## Town of Milton Historic Preservation Meeting Milton Library, 121 Union Street Tuesday, June 12, 2012 7:00 p.m.

## Transcribed by: Helene Rodgville [Minutes are not verbatim]

1. Call Meeting to Order

Dennis Hughes: Called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Roll Call of Members

Amy KratzPresentMike OstinatoPresentDennis HughesPresentGwen FoehnerPresentKevin KellyPresent

3. Corrections/Approval of the Agenda

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Does everybody have a copy of the agenda? Everybody has a copy of the corrected agenda for Items 5b and 5c. Does everybody have that? Are there any other corrections to this Agenda. If not, I'll entertain a motion for approval?

Mike Ostinato: I make a motion that we approve the agenda for tonight.

Kevin Kelly: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded to approve the agenda. Are there any questions on that motion? All in favor say aye. Opposed. Motion carried.

4. Approval of minutes of May 8, 2012

Dennis Hughes: I think everybody has a copy in front of them.

Amy Kratz: I make a motion to approve the minutes from Tuesday, May 8, 2012.

Dennis Hughes: We have a motion made, is there a second?

Mike Ostinato: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded to accept the May 8, 2012. Are there any questions on that motion? If not, all in favor say aye. Opposed. Motion carried.

- 5. Business Discussion and possible vote on the following:
  - a) The application from James & Barbara Wagner to replace a 20'X35' stone driveway with concrete and construct a 4'X6' outside shower. The property

is located at 409 Federal Street further identified by Sussex County Tax Map and Parcel #2-35-20.07-43.00

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Does everybody have a copy of the application? In the description of work, we have two. Number One is to replace a 20'X35' stone driveway with concrete. And the second is to build the 4'X6' outdoor shower. So we'll take Item Number One first. Driveway stone I think is on the third page of the application. It says existing stone. Mr. and Mrs.

Wagner, do you have anything that you would like to say?

Mike Ostinato: I can't believe you don't have questions.

Dennis Hughes: Robin, is concrete approved?

Robin Davis: Yes.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, so the material is approved. Does anybody have any questions of Mr. & Mrs. Wagner?

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: No, the outside shower is a great addition in any home. <u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Mr. Chairman, we're just discussing the first item on this, at this point. Is that right?

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Yes. Item Number One. Yes. If nobody has any questions, I'll entertain a motion for Item One on their application.

<u>Gwen Foehner</u>: I move that we approve the first part on the application for replacement of the 20'X35' stone driveway with concrete.

Kevin Kelly: Second:

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded. Any questions on this motion? If not, we'll do a roll call vote:

Amy Kratz	Approve
Mike Ostinato	Approve
Dennis Hughes	Approve
Gwen Foehner	Approve
Kevin Kelly	Approve

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, Item Number One has been approved. Item Number Two – build a 4'X6' outdoor shower and this shower will sit in the inside of the fence. It will not be seen from the outside. Correct. I think there's a location where you want to put it inside the fence. Is it just like a concrete thing with the shower head?

James Wagner: Concrete and a shower head, yes.

Dennis Hughes: Okay.

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: Well I went by and saw it today where it was going to be. I just walked by the driveway and just checked it out.

Kevin Kelly: Mr. Chairman, I have a quick question on this.

Dennis Hughes: Yes.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: On Page 220:56 in the Revised Code, page 56, item 7 – my only question pertains to and the statement on number seven is "the effect of the structure on the health, safety and general welfare of the Town of

Milton, it's residents and visitors." To what degree, does the town... I just don't know. This is purely a question of information. To what degree does the town consider shower water? Is that considered wastewater or is that considered... And does that require plumbing? Does that require connection to the sewer or the wastewater treatment or what's the status on that?

Gwen Foehner: Where does the water go?

Robin Davis: No. Usually open, outdoor showers do not... Some of them have wood floors and they actually drain right down into the ground. If the Wagner's are going to put concrete, it will dissipate in the ground. It is not... Shower water is not classified as wastewater.

Kevin Kelly: I just didn't... It's really a question of...

Robin Davis: I understand.

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: My outside shower is a wood floor and it's got stone underneath it and that's it.

Gwen Foehner: Where does the water drain from the shower?

<u>James Wagner</u>: Onto the ground. Under the ground. <u>Gwen Foehner</u>: Which is concrete, off the shower? <u>James Wagner</u>: Off the concrete, onto the ground.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Mr. Wagner, will that... I went by the property, as well, to look at that. Will the ground that absorbs the water run off and will that all be on your property or will that extend into the property of your neighbor.

<u>James Wagner</u>: I believe it will all be on our property.

<u>Gwen Foehner</u>: Well it will just go down the driveway, won't it? Into the street?

Kevin Kelly: No, it's separated from the driveway.

<u>James Wagner</u>: There's additional grass area within that enclosure.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: But the fence is a common... It's on the property line of the two properties? The existing fence?

<u>James Wagner</u>: The older fence. There's actually a new fence and an older fence.

Kevin Kelly: Yes. Right.

<u>James Wagner</u>: The older fence – I don't know the exact location of it; if it's on my neighbor's property or my property.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: But the intent will be to design whatever the shower is so that the water is contained on your property.

James Wagner: Right.

Kevin Kelly: The run-off is contained on your property.

<u>James Wagner</u>: Yes, I'll check with my plumber and see if he thinks I should build a sump.

Kevin Kelly: I don't even plan... Just a grade.

James Wagner: Yeah.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: Yes, that would be something that whoever is going to pour the concrete pad, have them slope it so the slope ends up coming in your

property and not slope towards the neighbors.

<u>James Wagner</u>: Fine. Yes. <u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Thank you, Sir.

Amy Kratz: I would like to make a motion to approve Number Two, build

a 4'X6' outdoor shower. Mike Ostinato: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded for Item Two, build a 4'X6' outdoor shower. Are there any questions on that motion? If not, we'll do a roll call vote:

Amy KratzApproveMike OstinatoApproveDennis HughesApproveGwen FoehnerApproveKevin KellyApprove

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, you're approved on both items. Amy Kratz: Thank you very much Mr. & Mrs. Wagner.

b) The application from Colleen Geiter to remove the existing front wooden fence with the possibility in the future of installing a vinyl fence and the installation of an above-ground pool. The property is located at 102 Union Street further identified by Sussex County Tax Map and Parcel # 2-35-20.07-74.00

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: That was amended to say, "After several conversations with the owner, several changes are requested. The owner would now like to remove the existing fence, with the possibility in the future of installing a vinyl fence as shown in the submitted paperwork. The owner still wants to remove the fence, but are not sure if they want to put a fence back. The existing wooden fence is rotten and in bad shape." The description of the work wording on the application for the fence is changed, after approval by the owner. Also, new wording was added for the "installation of an above-ground pool." I spoke to Mr. Geiter today and he has requested that this item be removed from the application. It will not be heard as part of the application. So the only thing we have is you have the fence and right now you want to remove the fence and at some time put another one back, but not right now. Is that correct?

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: That's correct.

<u>Amy Kratz</u>: Mr. Chairman, I have just one question. I'm curious. Are we just voting on the fact that he's removing it?

Robin Davis: Yes.

<u>Amy Kratz</u>: Okay, if he wants to put it back, will he not have to come back in front of us? I don't know how that works.

Robin Davis: That's why both items were left on there; after speaking with

the applicant. The original application had replace old wooden fence with 8'X3' white Gothic picket vinyl fence. After speaking with Mr. Geiter and talking about the shape of the fence, he would like the option of removing that old wooden fence that's there and possibly leaving it that way for awhile. If, after looking with the fence not being there, they decide to put it back, they would like to put the 8'X3' white Gothic picket vinyl fence there

Amy Kratz: Okay. That makes sense.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: We kept it both that way, so that if they decided not to put the fence back that we were okay, but they wouldn't have to come back for the approval for the new fence.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: As long as they're going to use the fence that they submitted.

Robin Davis: Correct. That is correct.

Amy Kratz: And as long as it was within a year. Am I correct? Of this application.

Robin Davis: Well removing it has to take...

Amy Kratz: It has to happen within a year.

Robin Davis: Yes. Removing it has to happen within a year. Yes. That's correct

Amy Kratz: That's all I wanted to make clear. Thank you.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: I think the applicant is going to remove it pretty soon because it's in bad shape.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: Yeah, if you even touch it, it wobbles and I tried to bolster it and it's been there for so long. I even thought about sanding it down and repainting it, because I like it; but I'm just afraid somebody, especially from either side, they do hang out there, late at night and they lean on that fence. I don't want to wake up hearing somebody screaming. So I just want to get rid of that fence before somebody gets hurt; that's my main reason.

Amy Kratz: So you're worried about impalement?

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: Yes. I think – you can hear them at Irish Eyes when they leave.

Amy Kratz: That make sense. That's great. Okay.

Kevin Kelly: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of questions. Mr. Geiter and members, on page 220:56 in the revisions, under category H Criteria: Reviewing Plans for any construction, reconstruction, alteration, etc. - we are instructed to give consideration to and there's a list; numbers one and two seem to me to be applicable here. "Historic and/or architectural value and significance of the structure and by structure, that includes a fence. It could be lots of different things; it isn't necessarily a house. It can be a house; structure and/or it's relationship to the historic value of the surrounding area" is the first consideration. The second consideration is "The relationship of the exterior architectural features of the structure to

the remainder of the structure and/or the surrounding neighborhood: distinctive stylistic features and/or examples of skilled craftsmanship shall be preserved, if possible." The third area of question that I have is specific to walls, fences and gates and that can be found on 220:58, where it is under I, number 9, in which the information is "Materials should be of a type compatible with the architecture of the Historic District and designated historic properties, to which walls, fences, gates should be included or fixed. Natural materials are encouraged. Man-made materials, similar in appearance, will be considered." So on two issues – the first is, again this is a publicly visible architectural feature and there is an expectation that steps be taken, where possible, to preserve any specific architectural feature, especially when visible and clearly associated with the structure as this fence is, in the community. So that's the first concern. The second concern is if, in fact, down the road in intent, if approval were given for you to take down the fence for safety reasons or whatever, then the issue of the material that would need to be used and the effort to retain the specific architectural features becomes an issue, as well. Now, I understand that that is not an issue before this Board tonight, is the replacement, because our focus is solely on the elimination, but for the Members, I think, it is a consideration, if in fact approval is given to remove the fence and there is no clear path towards what will replace that fence, then I have some concerns. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Fred Geiter: I have a question. Let me see if I can word this right. I don't mean... Looking at the pictures of the house and I think the earliest photograph that I found of the house, is an aerial photograph; I'm assuming it's taken in the late 1960's or early 1970's; there was no fence there. There wasn't any fence. So I'm just wondering does that still pertain. If the fence wasn't built 200 years ago; it's a pretty recent fence, by today's standards, so I'm just wondering is that a... I can understand with the vinyl and keeping with the facade of the front, which I can agree with; but as taking a fence down, the big reason for us is we feel that number one it's an eyesore; it's just falling apart; it's chipped; it's not even worth painting and if you did, you would just be throwing your money away, our money and number two, again, we feel it's a safety hazard also. That's all. Kevin Kelly: That's a fair question, Sir and actually it's a good question. Mr. Davis, do the rules pertain on the basis of age or do the rules pertain on the basis of the house's and the property's inclusion in the Historic District? In other words, if it's inclusion within the Historic District, then, for example, a fence that is built in 1950 would be included as...

Amy Kratz: It's included in the Historic District.

Kevin Kelly: Included as...

Amy Kratz: I mean, that's what I...

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: I understand your point. If this is not a fence original to the structure...

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: Right. I'm just asking. Just making observations when I've looked at pictures.

Kevin Kelly: That's a great question.

Amy Kratz: It's a very good question, in fact and this fence, actually, doesn't look like it belongs to with the house. This fence is a Gothic fence and the house is a Victorian house, so obviously this has not been standing. They probably had like some kind of metal or wrought iron in the beginning.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: I think they might have had a wrought iron, because the wrought iron is still around the other side, which I'm definitely never getting rid of, no matter what it looks like, because I love it. I mean I would like to see if I could clean that up; but as for the wood fence, again, it's just falling apart and it's old, but not old; but there's just no saving that fence.

<u>Amy Kratz</u>: So you've already reasonably tried to fix the fence several times.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: Yeah, oh yeah, I've gone out there with a sander and even tried to hand sand a post and I've broken one of the things already, just pressing on it. You don't even have to press. There's certain parts of the fence, if you just touch it, it just wobbles, so that's all. Thank you for hearing my question.

Amy Kratz: Sure. I would like to make a motion that we approve Mr. Geiter's application for removal of the old wooden fence and possibly in the future, install another fence that looks very similar to this, which is 8'X3' white Gothic picket vinyl fence, or something that is similar with the architecture of the house.

Fred Geiter: I think it's 8' sections.

Amy Kratz: It's 8' sections.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: I think the total length is like 42' altogether.

<u>Amy Kratz</u>: It's 3' high. I would like to make a motion that he can remove the old wooden fence that stands as it stands at this time.

Mike Ostinato: Second.

Kevin Kelly: Mr. Chairman, can the Chair clarify the motion?

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We're going to vote on just removing the fence and not the future part?

Amy Kratz: No I was actually... I was trying to add that in there, but the way the application is written I was having a hard time reading it, because it actually says "possibly in the future." Okay, I get how it's worded. Let me say it my motion again. I would like to make a motion that he remove the old wooden fence with the possibility of installing a white Gothic picket vinyl fence in the future, based on what his application says. I didn't say it exactly the way you said it, but the white Gothic fence would be in 8' sections, possibly and they would be only 3' tall.

Gwen Foehner: I have a question.

Robin Davis: We need a second again.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Wait a minute. We have a motion on the floor that's been seconded, so we're really in discussion, unless you're withdrawing your first motion.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Yes, we'll have questions on the motion.

<u>Amy Kratz</u>: Actually I was making the motion, trying to word it the way the application stated it.

Robin Davis: I think Ms. Kratz was just trying to clarify what the motion was

Fred Geiter: I'm sorry my sentence structure was...

<u>Robin Davis</u>: I think the extra wording she put in there was "as the application stated and possibly replacing in the future with the Gothic fence".

Amy Kratz: That's exactly right.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: And you agree with that; with your second?

Amy Kratz: Is that what you're seconding?

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: Well I seconded the removal of the fence. That's what I thought and that's all I seconded.

Amy Kratz: Okay. So are we waiting for another second?

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Well, okay. So you're actually not seconding her motion? Mike Ostinato: No.

Dennis Hughes: So we have a motion made by Amy and...

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: The first motion made by Amy, the way it was stated, I thought it was the removal of the fence and that's all we were hearing right now.

Dennis Hughes: Only?

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: At this moment. And then it was changed and I withdrew my second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So now, the motion on the floor is removing the old wooden fence and possibly in the future, replacing the fence with a white Gothic vinyl picket fence. Is that correct?

Amy Kratz: That's what I'm making a motion on.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So we have a motion on the floor now. Do we have a seconded? For a lack of a second, the motion goes away. So what we'll do, we'll open it back up. I think somebody else has some questions before we make another motion; we'll open it back up to questions and I think Gwen has a question.

Gwen Foehner: I feel that we should just approve the removal of the existing fence. I don't see how we can approve the possibility in the future of installing; you know, that could be... Number one, if we approved it, how long would that we effective, Robin?

Robin Davis: As long as he removed the fence.

<u>Gwen Foehner</u>: But the approval of replacing it would have to be done within how much time?

<u>Robin Davis</u>: His approval was... You have one year to act on the approval of the application and by removing the fence he would have acted on the application; so he could have two years to replace the fence if he wanted to.

Amy Kratz: Oh, okay. Okay.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: I do not think the complete application had to be completed by the one year timeframe, if I read the Code correctly.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Mr. Chairman, I also have a problem with "possibility". I don't think we can... I can't support agreeing to the "possibility" of something being done. If the request was both for removal and replacement, or just removal, I'm comfortable with either of those. <u>Gwen Foehner</u>: I agree.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay. So does anybody else have any questions? If not... <u>Amy Kratz</u>: Somebody's up for a motion. Something's up for a motion now.

Dennis Hughes: We'll make a motion. Yes.

Robin Davis: I think the next step would be to speak with the applicant and see if the applicant is willing to just say I'm okay just with removing the fence and if I decide in the future to put a fence back up I have to come back before the Board; or...

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: So you're essentially saying split this up into two sections? <u>Robin Davis</u>: Or, you will say, like the original application that you are definitely going to replace it now.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: We're not going to replace it right now. We can't. It's impossible right now, but if you guys want to split that one part into two parts, that's perfectly fine with me.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, so what we'll do then, then we'll vote on Item Number One is removing the fence. Item Number Two will be replacing it in the future.

Fred Geiter: Right.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So what we'll do now is we'll go to Item One the removal of the existing fence.

<u>Gwen Foehner</u>: I make a motion that we approve Mr. Geiter's application to remove the existing wooden fence on the property.

Kevin Kelly: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded to remove the wooden fence on the property. Are there any questions on that motion? If not, we'll have a roll call vote:

Amy Kratz	Approve
Mike Ostinato	Approve
Dennis Hughes	Approve
Gwen Foehner	Approve
Kevin Kelly	Approve

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, you're approved to remove the fence. Now, we'll go ahead and vote on Item Two, which is in the future replacing the fence with the white Gothic vinyl picket fence. Okay?

<u>Robin Davis</u>: If you vote on that motion tonight, he would have to replace that fence within a year. If he's not thinking about doing that, we might just not move on that.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Mr. Geiter, would you agree to simply remove that section from the application about the possibility of replacing that fence from this application?

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: That would mean then that I would have to resubmit to build the fence, correct, later, at a later date?

Kevin Kelly: Yes.

Fred Geiter: That's fine.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: Because if you didn't do it that way and they approved the new fence, you would have to do it within a year.

Fred Geiter: Within a year starting on the day...

Robin Davis: On the day of approval.

Fred Geiter: Today. Okay. A year.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So actually you're going to change your application.

Fred Geiter: Okay, you can like strike the second part out.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So basically what we ought to do is go back to the agenda; the application.

Robin Davis: It would just be removed.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Well that's what I thought we were going to do anyway. <u>Kevin Kelly</u>: We need to deny the second part, I think, because it's in the agenda.

Dennis Hughes: So I would need a motion.

Gwen Foehner: I make a motion that we deny the second part of Mr. Geiter's application regarding the "possibility" in the future of replacing the existing fence.

Kevin Kelly: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded to deny possibly in the future replacing the white Gothic vinyl picket fence. Are there any questions on this motion? If not, we'll vote on Item Two.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make sure that this doesn't stop the applicant, if he wants to come in in six months, this denial saying he can come back.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: How long do you have to wait after something is denied? <u>Robin Davis</u>: Without doing a quick scan of the ordinance, I'm not sure and it might not say.

Amy Kratz: I was under the impression that it was within a year.

Robin Davis: I know they talk about demolitions and things, but I don't think they talk about installing something. I think it's just demolitions. But

this might not affect the applicant anyway because if he's not planning on putting it up within a year anyway, it might be a moot point.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: We were still discussing it, now. That's why we were hoping to have it open ended because when we finally decided, because it's not going to be cheap. A fence is a nice thing to keep people from traipsing through the courtyard too. It's a double edged sword.

Amy Kratz: Robin, I understand what you're saying because while we were doing this, I was thinking the same thing; what if he wants to come back and we've already said no to this exact fence that he wants to put in; what if he wants to come back and install a Gothic fence and we say no he can't do it?

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Mr. Chairman, not to mince words, but the fact is the motion addresses the possibility of the installation of a fence, not the installation of a fence and that's a fine line but it is a line. There's a difference there, so I don't see that denying the possibility of doing something is the same thing as denying doing it.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Yeah it is. You say that they can come back and ask for a one year and after the second year, the applicant shall have to reappear.

Amy Kratz: Where is that Dennis?

Dennis Hughes: It's 220:54, Item H.

Mike Ostinato: Are you saying that he can't come back in four months? <u>Dennis Hughes</u>: No, I'm saying that if he said tonight that he wants to put that fence up, okay; he has one year to do it. Before that year ends, he can come back and ask for another year's extension. Okay? So that gives him two years before he would have to come back again.

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: We're talking about we denied the thing and if he wants to come back in four or five months, is that going to be allowed?

Kevin Kelly: Mr. Chairman, I think it will be and I think if you look a little further in the section you are now in on page 55, Item G, it says at the beginning, "No reapplication for essentially the same purpose shall be reviewed by Historic Preservation Commission within one year of denial of any application hereunder, except in cases where the applicant purports to be in compliance with the conditions of approval set forth by the Commission in earlier application denials." In other words, if he appears and says that we are going to put the fence in, that would, in my judgment put him in compliance with the Code.

Dennis Hughes: Yes, because he's already requested it.

Kevin Kelly: That would make any penalty of waiting, not applicable.

<u>Fred Geiter</u>: So then I would be able to reapply say within four months; so I don't have to wait a year? Okay, great.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: And that sentence and section is now in the record, so it could be referred to.

Fred Geiter: Okay, great. Thank you.

Amy Kratz: We had to read the Code to get through that one.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, so we're going to vote on this question to deny the future possibility.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: So, Mr. Chairman, an aye vote is a vote to support the denial of the possible ruling.

Amy Kratz: The installation...

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So the motion we're denying is Item Two.

Amy Kratz Approve the denial of the

installation of the white Gothic vinyl picket fence, possibly in the

future.

Mike Ostinato Agree
Dennis Hughes Agree
Gwen Foehner Agree
Kevin Kelly Approve

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: So Item One is approved. Item Two is not. You can tear your fence down and if you want to come back in a few months.

Fred Geiter: I'm sorry, guys.

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: Mr. Geiter, I have somebody that would love to buy that fence.

Fred Geiter: Really, before I take a sledge hammer to it.

Amy Kratz: Don't take a sledge hammer to it if he's got somebody to buy it from you.

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: Somebody just asked me the other day. Fred Geiter: I'm getting ready to make it into fire wood.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, thank you. <u>Amy Kratz</u>: Thank you, Mr. Geiter.

c) The application from Richard Ingram for the construction of a detached garage. The property is located at 305 Mill Park Street further identified by Sussex County Tax Map and Parcel # 2-35-20.08-40.00

Dennis Hughes: With the amended thing here, it says that Mr. Ingram has submitted an application for construction of a garage/shop on the property. The Commission reviewed and approved the application on December 3, 2011 for the construction of a new home on the property. The overall appearance of the garage will match the look of the proposed house.

Robin Davis: Mr. Chairman, I just submitted that along with the application, just to show that Mr. Ingram was here for the house. It was approved by the Commission. He has received the building permit and was starting work and decided to add the garage/shop; he thought it would give a better look to the home.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: With this, you'll have to meet the setbacks and everything. Robin Davis: Yes, correct. It does, as proposed where Mr. Ingram is going

to put it, it does meet all the setbacks.

Dennis Hughes: Okay.

Mike Ostinato: Mr. Ingram, the cement structure that is there...

<u>Richard Ingram</u>: There's a footing there, now. Mike Ostinato: Footing. A footing there?

Richard Ingram: Yes.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: There's just a footing for the foundation of the house and some preliminary excavation it looks like, for the location of the garage.

Richard Ingram: That's correct.

Mike Ostinato: Okay.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: Mr. Ingram, I have a question on the application, as well and it's just a word. On the second drawing, is that word "shower"; is there going to be a shower included in the shop?

Richard Ingram: No.

<u>Gwen Foehner</u>: What does that say?

Kevin Kelly: I can't figure out what that says.

Gwen Foehner: Garage/shop...

Amy Kratz: It does look like shower.

Kevin Kelly: I couldn't figure out the word.

<u>Richard Ingram</u>: Is that flowers? There's no shower, there's no shower that I know of.

Kevin Kelly: I don't think we generally vote on flowers.

Gwen Foehner: Okay, can we cross that word out?

<u>Richard Ingram</u>: Cross that word out. No shower. No shower. I couldn't figure out where the shower comes from; and I don't know what the flowers are doing in there, I don't know.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: My second question, Sir, is your application suggests that this will be a garage/shop.

<u>Richard Ingram</u>: Now the Shop is not a machine shop, or anything like that; it's just a shop for a lady to do flowers, pot flowers and start plants and things like that.

Amy Kratz: Then it is flowers. That's why it says flowers.

Dennis Hughes: So it's a flower shop.

Richard Ingram: I guess that's where the flower shop comes from.

Amy Kratz: But it looks like shower.

Kevin Kelly: Well I'm glad we settled that.

Mike Ostinato: A garden shop. Okay. Amy Kratz: So it's like a potting shed?

Richard Ingram: Yes. Amy Kratz: Okay.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay. Does anybody else have any other questions of Mr.

Ingram?

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: In terms of materials, Sir, you have a list that you provided us and I did not have it handy, though I have it somewhere filed. You

indicate that on this garage/shop, the roofing will be four year asphalt shingles and that will be the same as was approved on the house?

Richard Ingram: On the house, correct.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: The siding – both the left and right sides would be wood shingle. Is that also as on the house?

Richard Ingram: The siding of the garage is T111.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: T111 on the left and right sides, but the front and back would be wood shingle?

Richard Ingram: Right. Right.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: And would the wood shingle be as on the front of the house?

<u>Richard Ingram</u>: Like what's on the front of the house.

Kevin Kelly: Okay. And then soffit and facia aluminum with crown

molding? Is that consistent with the house?

Richard Ingram: That's correct.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: I'm just asking because I don't have the house specs in front of me.

Richard Ingram: Yeah, okay.

Kevin Kelly: The windows the same as six over six?

Richard Ingram: Yeah, we're going to match the house as close as possible.

Kevin Kelly: Okay. Doors, same as for the house? Garage door,

fiberglass?

Richard Ingram: That's correct.

<u>Kevin Kelly</u>: And then will there be a stucco siding or material applied to the siding; something?

<u>Richard Ingram</u>: No stucco, just wood shingles and T111 in the back; that's a 4X8 sheet of siding and it looked like T111 looked like a 12" board with a batten, 12" board with a batten.

Kevin Kelly: Okay.

<u>Robin Davis</u>: Mr. Ingram, I think the block foundation that's there is going to be seen; there's going to be some blocks; that has to be stuccoed.

Richard Ingram: Oh, oh, the foundation; yes it will be stucco; yeah.

Amy Kratz: Stucco or brick.

Kevin Kelly: It's above grade so it will... Thank you, Sir.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay. Does anybody have any more questions? If not, we'll entertain a motion.

<u>Gwen Foehner</u>: I move that we approve Mr. Ingram's application for the construction of a detached garage/shop on his property.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Do I hear a second?

Mike Ostinato: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: Okay, we have a motion made and seconded to accept Mr. Ingram's application for the construction of a detached garage/shop. Are there any questions on that motion? If not, we'll take a roll call vote:

Amy Kratz

Approve

Mike Ostinato Approve
Dennis Hughes Approve
Gwen Foehner Approve
Kevin Kelly Approve

Dennis Hughes: You're approved Mr. Ingram.

Richard Ingram: I hope I'm as lucky as this gentleman to find somebody to

buy his fence.

Mike Ostinato: I said, maybe. I haven't talked to him yet, but he was

interested.

Robin Davis: Did he say he wanted to buy a house?

Richard Ingram: [garbled]

<u>Mike Ostinato</u>: It took me awhile to find your property. I kept driving up and down the street looking for 305 and then I found that it was the new construction; I remembered when you were here before.

## 6. Adjournment

Gwen Foehner: I make a motion to adjourn.

Kevin Kelly: Second.

<u>Dennis Hughes</u>: We have a motion made and seconded to adjourn at 7:40 p.m. All

in favor say aye. Opposed. We are adjourned.